

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.750>

Estimates and demographic correlates of hoarding disorder in non-clinical sample in Pakistan

Ayesha Inam, Zubaa Akhtar, Aisha Kashif, Hamna Nadeem

Department of Humanities, COMSATS University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Correspondence: Ayesha Inam **Email:** ayesha.inam@comsats.edu.pk

Abstract

Objective: To establish sample estimates of hoarding behaviour with demographic correlates in a non-clinical sample.

Method: The cross-sectional study was conducted in Islamabad, Pakistan, from February to April, 2018, and comprised data collected from Karachi, Lahore, Islamabad and Rawalpindi after approval from the COMSATS University, Islamabad. Data was collected using a self-developed demographic sheet, along with the hoarding rating scale. Data was analysed using SPSS, Version 20.

Results: Of the 375 subjects, 118(31.5%) were males and 257(68.5%) were females. The overall mean age was 42.25 $\pm$ 13.007 years. Mean hoarding rating scale score was 8.34 $\pm$ 6.69, with 76(20.3%) subjects falling in the clinical range of hoarding tendencies. There was a significant difference in the scores for Early Adulthood, Middle Adulthood and Late Adulthood ($p < 0.001$). Gender comparison revealed significant difference ($p < 0.001$). A significant positive correlation between hoarding and age was found ($p < 0.01$) whereas a negative correlation was found between hoarding and monthly household income ($p < 0.01$).

Conclusion: Hoarding tendencies were found to be in the clinical range for one-fifth of the sample.

29 **Key Words:** Hoarding disorder, Estimates, Demographics, Pakistan.

30

31 **Introduction**

32 Hoarding is a complex behaviour which consists of messy and disorganised
 33 collectors as well as individuals keeping worthless material possessions that
 34 invade their homes and essentially take over their lives, negatively affecting
 35 their quality of life.¹ It was initially classified as criterion included in
 36 obsessive compulsive personality disorder (OCPD) and was a symptom of
 37 obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD), but it is now classified in Diagnostic and
 38 Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders version 5 (DSM-V) as hoarding disorder (HD).²

39 Globally, different prevalence studies have reported high levels of hoarding
 40 tendencies in non-clinical population. A study on twins conducted in London³
 41 found that 2.3% twins had HD. Furthermore, higher prevalence was reported
 42 in males (4.1%) than in females (2.1%). Another study conducted in Germany
 43 revealed a current population estimate of 5.8% of HD with perfectionism,
 44 indecision, and procrastination as co-morbid conditions⁴. All these studies
 45 conclude that hoarding tendencies are emerging in different populations of
 46 western cultures, but there is dearth of information on estimates and
 47 prevalence of HD in other cultures.

48 Demographics may also play a part in HD development . People with HD
 49 were older, non-hispanic, living alone without any children and were more
 50 likely to be white⁵. A study⁶ found higher prevalence of hoarding in men
 51 compared to women in the community sample, whereas another⁷ found no
 52 evidence of gender differences in hoarding tendencies. However, it is known
 53 that behavioural traits in hoarding can change with age and that the gender
 54 differences may be present in adolescents, but not in adults⁴. Since, there is
 55 inconclusive literature on hoarding tendencies with demographic correlates,
 56 therefore research is needed in that direction.

The current study was planned to calculate estimates of HD, and to assess its demographic correlates.

Subjects and Methods

The cross-sectional study was conducted in Islamabad, Pakistan, from February to April, 2018, and comprised data collected from Karachi, Lahore, Islamabad and Rawalpindi after approval from the COMSATS University, Islamabad. In the light of literature⁸ the sample size was calculated using the formula: $s = X^2NP(1-P) \div d^2(N1) + X^2P(1-P)$, where s = required sample size, X^2 = the table value of chi-square for 1 degree of freedom at the desired confidence level (3.841), N = the population size, P = the population proportion (assumed to be 0.50 since this would provide the maximum sample size) and d = the degree of accuracy expressed as a proportion (0.05). Based on this formula, a sample size of 384 was required for a population total of or more than 1,000,000.

Data was collected using purposive sampling technique. Those included were subjects of either gender aged 20-65 years with a minimum 14 years of education. The sample was divided into 3 age categories; early adulthood 20-35 years, middle adulthood 36-50 years, and late adulthood 51-65 years. Those with qualification <14 years and those not willing to participate were excluded. After taking informed consent, a self-developed demographic sheet was administered to all the subjects. Items included age, gender and monthly household income. The Hoarding Rating Scale-Interview (HRS-I) was utilised to gauge storing practices. It comprised 5 questions expected to mirror the proposed measurements of hoarding; trouble utilising living spaces because of messiness, trouble disposing of belonging, unreasonable procurement of articles, enthusiastic pain because of accumulating practices, and useful debilitation because of hoarding practices. All aspects were rated on a 9-point scale from 0 = none to 8 = outrageous. An aggregate HRS-I score was

determined by figuring the entirety of each of the 5 domains. The cut-off score for HRS-I was 14. The internal consistency of the scale has been reported to be 0.87.^{9, 10}

The questionnaire used was in the English language, and was pre-tested on 10 people to assess whether the questionnaire was understandable by the targeted sample. Data was collected in person by approaching the subjects personally and online through different social media forums by sharing a Google link.

Data was analysed using SPSS Version 20. Independent t-test was employed for gender comparison among hoarding tendencies. Correlational analysis was done for age and household income with hoarding tendencies. Additionally, univariate analysis of variance was used to determine the statistically significant differences in hoarding tendencies in different age groups. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

98

99 **Results**

100 Of the 387 subjects enrolled, 375(97%) completed the questionnaire. Of them, ,
101 118(31.5%) were males and 257(68.5%) were females. The overall mean age
102 was 42.25+/-13.007 years. There were 133(35.5%) in the early adulthood group,
103 133(35.5%) middle adulthood, and 109(29.1%) in the late adulthood group.
104 Mean household income was Pak Rupee (PKR) 75761.33+/-44133.151.

105 Mean hoarding rating scale score was 8.34+/-6.69, with 76(20.3%) subjects
106 falling in the clinical range of hoarding tendencies (Figure). Gender
107 comparisons revealed significantly higher hoarding tendencies in women
108 compared to men (Table 1). A significant positive correlation was seen among
109 hoarding behaviour and age whereas a negative correlation was seen between
110 household income and hoarding behaviour (Table 2). There was a significant
111 difference between the mean values of different age groups (Table 3).

112

Discussion

The hoarding behaviour is less studied in clinical population and, to the best of our knowledge, there is not a single study measuring hoarding tendencies in Pakistani population. The current study, as such, is a pioneering effort, and it also explored gender differences which were found to have significant impact. The correlation of age and household income also had significant relationship with hoarding tendencies. Hence, the current study was able to analyse hoarding tendencies on multiple levels.

The study showed 20.3% prevalence of clinically significant hoarding tendencies in the sample. These trends in our culture are consistent with earlier evidence^{11, 12}. Many theoretical perspectives may explain the plausible causes of higher hoarding tendencies. Psychological trauma caused by sexual abuse and violence in childhood is one important risk factor in developing hoarding behaviour in adulthood¹³. The situation of child sexual abuse is very critical in Pakistan. There is no official data on reported cases. Unofficial sources report 15-20% rate of sexual abuse of children aged <18¹⁴. The high percentage of hoarding behaviour in the general population can be attributed to the higher rates of psychological trauma in childhood.

For demographic variables, higher hoarding behaviour scores were associated with being females, in late age group, and having lower household income. These findings are similar to recent studies based on non-clinical population^{15,16}, showing that anthropomorphic tendencies are higher in females compared to males, which is a strong predictor of hoarding behaviour. The findings of the present study can be attributed to this psychological phenomenon and can be further verified¹⁷. The higher tendency can also be attributed to the house-maker role of females, which makes them more protective and caring of their household items.

Monthly household income was negatively associated with hoarding behaviour, and the finding is consistent with earlier evidence from across the world^{18,19}. There was a significant difference between the mean values of different age groups, with late adulthood scoring higher compared to middle and early adulthood groups. This is due to the fact that attachment with objects develop with time and increases to such an extent that people in late adulthood feel difficulty in discarding that object, hence, leading to hoarding tendencies⁵. Studies also suggest that pathological hoarding behaviours have a later onset and are associated with stress or due to loss of career, through retirement, or loss of a spouse²⁰.

The current study has a few limitations. Gender ratio in the sample was not balanced which might have impacted the results. Future researchers can use different sampling techniques to get a balanced sample in this regard. Secondly, the questionnaire response rate was low for late adulthood group. Future researchers can use more direct methods of data collection to improve the return rate. Difference between diagnosis of the disorder and the natural phenomena of attachment with age should be explored in late adulthood participants.

Conclusion

Hoarding tendencies were found in 20% of the sample that was in the clinical range. Older adults, females and people with lower household income had higher hoarding tendencies.

Disclaimer: The text is based on a BS research project.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Source of Funding: None.

References

1. Frank C, Misiasek B. Approach to hoarding in family medicine: Beyond reality television. *Can Fam Physician*. 2012;58(10):1087-1091.
2. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®). *Am Psychiatr Publ*; 2013.
3. Iervolino AC, Perroud N, Fullana MA, Guipponi M, Cherkas L, Collier DA, Mataix-Cols D. Prevalence and heritability of compulsive hoarding: a twin study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2009;166(10):1156-1161. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08121789
4. Timpano KR, Exner C, Glaesmer H, Rief W, Keshaviah A, Brahler E, et al. The epidemiology of the proposed DSM-5 hoarding disorder: exploration of the acquisition specifier, associated features, and distress. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011. doi:10.4088/JCP.10m06380
5. Rodriguez CI, Herman D, Alcon J, Chen S, Tannen A, Essock S, et al. Prevalence of hoarding disorder in individuals at potential risk of eviction in New York City: a pilot study. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2012;200(1). doi:10.1097%2FNMD.0b013e31823f678b
6. Murphy DL, Timpano KR, Wheaton MG, Greenberg BD, Miguel EC. Obsessive compulsive disorder and its related disorders: a reappraisal of obsessive-compulsive spectrum concepts. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2010;12(2):131.
7. Booth AL, Nolen P. Gender differences in risk behaviour: does nurture matter?. *Econ J*. 2012;122(558):56-78. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-0297.2011.02480.x
8. Krejcie RV, Morgan DW. Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and psychological measurement*. 1970 Sep;30(3):607- 610.

9. Tolin DF, Frost RO, Steketee G, Gray KD, Fitch KE. The economic and social burden of compulsive hoarding. *Psychiatry Res.* 2008;160(2):200-211. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2007.08.008
10. Tolin DF, Frost RO, Steketee G. A brief interview for assessing compulsive hoarding: the Hoarding Rating Scale-Interview. *Psychiatry Res.* 2010;178(1):147-152. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2009.05.001
11. Mataix-Cols D, Pertusa A, Snowden J. Neuropsychological and neural correlates of hoarding: a practice-friendly review. *J Clin Psychol.* 2011;67(5):467-476. doi: 10.1002/jclp.20791
12. Subramaniam M, Abidin E, Picco L, Vaingankar JA, Chong SA. Multiple chronic medical conditions: prevalence and risk factors—results from the Singapore Mental Health Study. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry.* 2014;36(4):375-381.
13. Cromer KR, Schmidt NB, Murphy DL. An investigation of traumatic life events and obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Behav Res Ther.* 2007;45(7):1683-1691. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2006.08.018.
14. Save the Children Sweden, Pakistan Programme. *A situation analysis of CSEC in Pakistan by working group against child abuse against CSA/E 2004.* 2009; Retrieved at March 17, 2018 from <http://sahil.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/CSEC.pdf>.
15. Nordsletten AE, Reichenberg A, Hatch SL, de la Cruz LF, Pertusa A, Hotopf M, et al. Epidemiology of hoarding disorder. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2013;203(6):445-452. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.113.130195
16. Spittlehouse JK, Vierck E, Pearson JF, Joyce PR. Personality, mental health and demographic correlates of hoarding behaviours in a midlife sample. *PeerJ.* 2016;4:2826. doi: 10.7717/peerj.2826.
17. Burgess AM, Graves LM, Frost RO. My possessions need me: Anthropomorphism and hoarding. *Scand J Psychol.* 2018;59(3):340-348. doi: 10.1111/sjop.12441

18. Carraresi C, Bulli F, Melli G, Stopani E. Mental contamination in ocd: its role in the relationship between disgust propensity and fear of contamination. *Clin Neuropsychiatry*. 2013;10(3).doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2018.03.005.
19. Mueller A, Mitchell JE, Crosby RD, Glaesmer H, de Zwaan M. The prevalence of compulsive hoarding and its association with compulsive buying in a German population-based sample. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2009;47(8):705-709.doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2009.04.005.
20. Grisham JR, Frost RO, Steketee G, Kim HJ, Hood S. Age of onset of compulsive hoarding. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2006;20(5):675-686.doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2005.07.004.

Table 1: Gender Comparison on Hoarding Rating Scale (HRS) (N=375)

Variable	Male	Female	t (373)	p	95% CI		Cohen's d
	M (SD)	M (SD)			LL	UL	
HRS	6.36(5.415)	9.39 (7.018)	4.15	.00	-4.46	-1.59	0.48

LL: Lower Limit, UL: Upper Limit, SD: Standard deviation***p<.00

Table 2: Correlation between hoarding behaviour and continuous demographic variables. (N=375).


Variable	M	SD	1	2	3
1. HRS	8.34	6.69	-	.567**	-.308**
2. Age	42.25	13.007	-	-	-
3. Household Income	75761.33	44133.15	-	-	-

HRS: Hoarding Rating Scale; SD: Standard deviation; **p<.01

Table 3: Comparisons of age categories and Hoarding Rating Scale (HRS) (N=375)

	<i>Early Adults</i>	<i>Middle Adults</i>	<i>Late Adults</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>p</i>	η^2
	<i>M (SD)</i>	<i>M(SD)</i>	<i>M(SD)</i>			
<i>HRS</i>	4.13(2.73)	6.36(3.13)	16.21(6.71)	250.53	0.01	.56

Note: ** $p < 0.001$, M: Mean, SD: Standard Deviation, η^2 =Partial eta square

**Figure: Levels of hoarding tendencies in the sample (N=375)**